Enduro Committee meeting
Saturday, September 29, 2018

Location:
Croom Enduro Sign up Area
Seville, FL

Call to Order: 5:08PM

Roll Call:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enduro Chair</td>
<td>George Tolson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enduro Referee</td>
<td>Glenn Hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enduro Scorekeeper</td>
<td>CBob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/A Rep</td>
<td>Don Criss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/C Rep</td>
<td>Sam Boydstun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec C/Women Rep</td>
<td>Jimmy Pitts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec A/B Rep</td>
<td>Peter Magee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERA</td>
<td>Kim Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suncoast</td>
<td>Scott Doerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry Mountain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTR</td>
<td>Elesa Berard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDR</td>
<td>Barry Cummings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>Ronnie Hames</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guests:
Cary Hunt

Old Business:
None to discuss.

New Business:

1. **Deletion of Evolution Class**
   
   Motion – Don Chriss
   
   2nd – Peter Magee

   FTR Rule Change Proposal

   Submitted By: _George Tolson__________________________ Date: __9/29/18_________

   Email: _gjtolson63@hotmail.com______________________ Phone: _863-698-3837_________

   Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule

   Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)
   
   Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

   Elimination of Evo Class in Enduro

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)
Chapter III General Rules
D. Rider Classification
ENDURO Division/Class Displacement classes Age
Evolution 0-Open cc Drum Brakes & Air Cooled

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)
Very limited participation during the past 4 years in this class.

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

Page 12
Chapter III General Rules
D. Rider Classification
Women 0- Open cc None
Beginners 0- Open cc None

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)
None

Benefits (For making the change)
Remove class with limited participation from YE awards and event awards for clubs to provide

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)
None. YE saving for FTR and Clubs

Motion Failed

2. Changing Minimum run participation from 50% to 60% of races for YE trophy qualification

Motion – Don Chriss
2nd - Peter Magee

FTR Rule Change Proposal

Submitted By: George Tolson Date: ___9/29/18______
Email: gjtolson63@hotmail.com Phone: 863-698-3837

Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)
Increase minimum runs required to 60% (from 50%) of series runs to qualify for YE trophies

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)
Page 14
Chapter III General Rules
H. Year-End Awards

2. Winners for the Year: a. Total points will be determined by the best combined scores in 70% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number with 0.50 being rounded up. In addition, Motocross total points would be determined by the best scores in 80% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number also. To qualify for year-end awards in the FTR Series, a rider must enter at least 50% of the sanctioned events.

Page 15
Chapter III General Rules
H. Year-End Awards

The number of trophies per class will be determined by the following:
Beginner classes do not receive year-end awards. Eligibility for all other classes will be determined by:
a. A rider must score points in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, including a worked run, where applicable. If no one in the class scores in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, no one in the class gets an award. Riders who score in less than 50% of the sanctioned events will always be awarded lower final standings than those riders who participate in the required number of events, regardless of points earned.

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)
Effort to decrease the loss associated with the Enduro series due to YE payout for trophies in classes with limited participation

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

Page 14
Chapter III General Rules
H. Year-End Awards

2. Winners for the Year: a. Total points will be determined by the best combined scores in 70% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number with 0.50 being rounded up. In addition, Motocross total points would be determined by the best scores in 80% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number also. To qualify for year-end awards in the FTR Series, a rider must enter a minimum of 60% of the sanctioned events. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number with .50 being rounded up next highest whole number.

Page 15
Chapter III General Rules
H. Year-End Awards

The number of trophies per class will be determined by the following:
Beginner classes do not receive year-end awards.
Eligibility for all other classes will be determined by:
a. A rider must score points in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, except in the Enduro Series where points must be scored in at least 60% of the sanctioned
events, including a worked run, where applicable. If no one in the class scores in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, no one in the class gets an award. Riders who score in less than 50% of the sanctioned events will always be awarded lower final standings than those riders who participate in the required number of events, regardless of points earned.

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

| None |

Benefits (For making the change)

| Limit trophies with classes with low participation. Require riders to participate in an extra event to obtain a YE trophy in series |

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)

| None |

Motion Passes – Unanimously

3. **Eliminate Randomization of Pre-entry**

Motion – Sam Boydstun

2nd – Peter Magee

FTR Rule Change Proposal

Submitted By: _c bob House & Woodie Westbrook Date: 9/29/18__________

Email: _cbr46@yahoo_____ Phone: 770.778.9343__________

Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)

| Eliminate randomization of pre-entries and return to row selection by postmark (if permitted by promoter) |

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

Chapter IV, paragraph C, item 5:

5. . . . . Starting row assignments will be determined by random drawing. At the promoting clubs’ option, and if stated in the flyer for the event, riders may request a specific starting row. If the promoting club elects this option, it shall assign a cut-off date for the pre-entry drawing. On this date only, all entries received will be drawn, and if their requested row is available, it will be assigned. If the row requested has already been filled by random drawing the entry will be assigned the closest available row.

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)

| Alienate riders that work hard to enter early. |
| Encourage riders to pre-enter early and not wait for weather reports. |
| Burn out promoting administrators and Scorekeeper trying to make this rule work by manual methods. |
| Injure a rider by forcing them to ride with a faster pack in rows 20 - 30 |

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)
Replace the sentence “Starting row assignments will be determined by random drawing,” with "Row assignments requested by riders shall be determined by date and time of electronic and/or postal submissions. Riders not requesting a specific row will have their row determined by lottery after the requested rows are assigned."

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

None

Benefits (For making the change)

None

Riders are more inclined to ride enduros knowing they can ride where they want and with who they want without “buddying up”. Buddying up doubles a rider’s chances at early row choice. Three buddies triples their chances, pushing all the single entries to the back. Eliminating the random rule will keep riders from abusing this loophole. Club administrators and Scorekeeper won’t be burning out trying to make the random rule work.
Consistency with rule implementation – I’m not convinced clubs have been randomizing pre-entries before selecting rows so why keep a rule that won’t be followed?
Allows MotoTally to be a one-button solution for row choice.
Racing is supposed to be fun. Let’s make it fun again, not rule intensive.

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)

None

Motion Passes

4. Change Class of 66+ to 65+
Motion – Sam Boydstun
2nd – Elesa Berard

FTR Rule Change Proposal
Submitted By: __c bob house________________________ Date: __9/29/18________
Email: __cbr46@yahoo.com________________________ Phone: __770.778.9343_________
Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)

Move Enduro Class 66+ to 65+ qualifying age.
Does NOT affect Hare Scramble Series classes

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

Chapter III, paragraph D, item 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENDURO</th>
<th>Division/Class</th>
<th>Displacement classes</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA Expert/Pro</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Advanced</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Amateur</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rider Division</td>
<td>Vehicle Class</td>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>Brakes &amp; Cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Novice</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+35 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>35*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+40 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>40*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+45 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>45*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>50*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+55 (A,B)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>55*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+60 (A,B)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>60*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+66</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>66*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+70</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>70*/Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolution</td>
<td>0-Open cc Drum</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&amp; Air Cooled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginners</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paragraph L item 6:
Vehicle displacement classes are as follows:

**Enduros:**
- 0-200 cc: A, B, & C
- 201-250 cc: A, B, & C
- 251-Open cc: A, B, & C
- 0-Open cc: AA, +35, +40, +45, +50, +55, +60, +66, +70, Women, Evolution, Beginners

Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:
There are thirteen (13) rider divisions:
- AA Expert
- A Advanced
- B Amateur
- C Novice
- +35
- +40
- +45
- +50
- +55
- +60
- +66
- +70
- Women
- Beginner

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)
Overpopulate Golden Master 60-66 classes
Not have enough riders for 66-70 age group
Keep goofy age gaps for 55-60-66-70 classes at 5-6-4 year gaps

**Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)**

In each instance change class name and qualifying age from +66 years to +65 years of age
Paragraph D item 1:
+65 0-Open cc 65*/Above
Paragraph L item 6:
0-Open cc AA, +35, +40, +45, +50, +55, +60, +65, +70, Women, Evolution, Beginners
Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:
+65

**Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)**

Simple rulebook change

**Benefits (For making the change)**

Trim Golden Master 60-66 classes from overpopulation
Have enough riders for 66-70 age group
Simplify age gaps for 55-60-66-70 classes to 5 year gaps

**Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)**

Confusion with having separate class from Hare Scramble Series

Motion Passes – Unanimously

**5. Addition of 50+C Class**

Motion – Cbob
2nd - Peter Magee

FTR Rule Change Proposal

Submitted By: _Kim Watson________________________________ Date: _9/29/18_________
Email: _____tkimwatson@att.net ______________ Phone: _864-350-7053_________

Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

**Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)**

Add 60+C class

**Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)**

Chapter III, paragraph D, item 1

**ENDURO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division/Class</th>
<th>Displacement classes</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA Expert/Pro</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Advanced</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Amateur</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Novice</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+35 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>35*/Above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Paragraph L item 6:
Vehicle displacement classes are as follows:

**Enduros:**
- 0-200 cc: A, B, & C
- 201-250 cc: A, B, & C
- 251-Open cc: A, B, & C
- 0-Open cc: AA, +35, +40, +45, +50, +55, +60, +66, +70, Women, Evolution, Beginners

Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:
There are thirteen (13) rider divisions:
- AA Expert
- A Advanced
- B Amateur
- C Novice
- +35
- +40
- +45
- +50
- +55
- +60
- +66
- +70
- Women
- Beginner

**Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)**

| C riders @ 60 would either have to move up to B or compete with riders 10 yrs younger. |
Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

Chapter III, paragraph D, item 1

ENDURO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division/Class</th>
<th>Displacement classes</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+60 (A, B, C)</td>
<td>0- Open cc</td>
<td>60*/Above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

| None |

Benefits (For making the change)

| May increase 60+ age group participation |

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)

| Additional trophies for class |

Motion Failed

Open discussion on:
Class Restructure
Trophy Guidelines
Sprint Enduro

Motion to Adjourn: 6:21
Motion – Ronnie Hames
2nd – Peter Magee