## Enduro Committee meeting Saturday, September 29, 2018

### **Location:**

Croom Enduro Sign up Area Seville, FL

Call to Order: 5:08PM

Roll Call:

Enduro Chair	George Tolson
Enduro Referee	Glenn Hunt
Enduro Scorekeeper	CBob
AA/A Rep	Don Criss
B/C Rep	Sam Boydstun
Spec C/Women Rep	Jimmy Pitts
Spec A/B Rep	Peter Magee
GERA	Kim Watson
CERA	
Suncoast	Scott Doerr
Perry Mountain	
CFTR	Elesa Berard
RCDR	Barry Cummings
DDR	Ronnie Hames

## **Guests:**

Cary Hunt

## **Old Business:**

None to discuss.

## **New Business:**

## 1. <u>Deletion of Evolution Class</u>

Motion – Don Chriss 2<sup>nd</sup> – Peter Magee

**FTR Rule Change Proposal** 

Submitted By	: _George Tolsoi	1	Date:9	/29/18
Email:g	jtolson63@hotm	nail.com	Phone: _863-698-3837	<u> </u>
Circle One: Summary of P	New Rule Proposal (What is	Clarification of Rule (s to be accomplished)	Deletion of Rule	Safety Critical
Elimination	n of Evo Clas	s in Enduro		

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

Page 12

Chapter III General Rules

D. Rider Classification

ENDURO Division/Class Displacement classes Age

Evolution 0-Open cc Drum Brakes & Air Cooled

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)

Very limited participation during the past 4 years in this class.

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

Page 12

Chapter III General Rules

D. Rider Classification

Women 0- Open cc None

Beginners 0- Open cc None

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

None

Benefits (For making the change)

Remove class with limited participation from YE awards and event awards for clubs to provide

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented - cost, manpower, etc.)

None. YE saving for FTR and Clubs

Motion Failed

# 2. Changing Minimum run participation from 50% to 60% of races for YE trophy qualification

Motion – Don Chriss 2<sup>nd</sup>- Peter Magee

**FTR Rule Change Proposal** 

Submitted By:	: _George Tolso	n	Date: _	9/29/18
Email:g	jtolson63@hotn	nail.com	Phone: _863-698-3	8837
Circle One:	New Rule	Clarification of Rule	Deletion of Rule	Safety Critical
Summary of P	roposal (What is	s to be accomplished)		
Increase n YE trophie		required to 60% (from	n 50%) of series	runs to qualify for
Current Rule ( affected)	(Copy and paste	from rule book – cite chap	oter, paragraph, etc. o	of every section that is
Page 14				
Chapter III	General Rules			

H. Year-End Awards

2. Winners for the Year: a. Total points will be determined by the best combined scores in 70% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number with 0.50 being rounded up. In addition, Motocross total points would be determined by the best scores in 80% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number also. To qualify for year-end awards in the FTR Series, a rider must enter at least 50% of the sanctioned events.

Page 15

Chapter III General Rules

H. Year-End Awards

The number of trophies per class will be determined by the following:

Beginner classes do not receive year-end awards. Eligibility for all other classes will be determined by:

a. A rider must score points in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, including a worked run, where applicable. If no one in the class scores in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, no one in the class gets an award. Riders who score in less than 50% of the sanctioned events will always be awarded lower final standings than those riders who participate in the required number of events, regardless of points earned.

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)

Effort to decrease the loss associated with the Enduro series due to YE payout for trophies in classes with limited participation

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

Page 14

Chapter III General Rules

H. Year-End Awards

2. Winners for the Year: a. Total points will be determined by the best combined scores in 70% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number with 0.50 being rounded up. In addition, Motocross total points would be determined by the best scores in 80% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number also. To qualify for year-end awards in the FTR Series, a rider must enter at least 50% of the sanctioned events. To qualify for year-end awards in the Enduro Series, a rider must enter a minimum of 60% of the sanctioned events. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number with .50 being rounded up next highest whole number.

Page 15

Chapter III General Rules

H. Year-End Awards

The number of trophies per class will be determined by the following:

Beginner classes do not receive year-end awards.

Eligibility for all other classes will be determined by:

a. A rider must score points in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, except in the Enduro Series where points must be scored in at least 60% of the sanctioned

**events,** including a worked run, where applicable. If no one in the class scores in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, no one in the class gets an award. Riders who score in less than 50% of the sanctioned events will always be awarded lower final standings than those riders who participate in the required number of events, regardless of points earned.

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

None

Benefits (For making the change)

Limit trophies with classes with low participation. Require riders to participate in an extra event to obtain a YE trophy in series

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)

None

## **Motion Passes – Unanimously**

## 3. Eliminate Randomization of Pre-entry

Motion – Sam Boydstun 2<sup>nd</sup> – Peter Magee

Submitted By	:c bob Hou	FTR Rule Change Proposal use & Woodie Westbrook Date:9/2	9/18
Email: _cbr46	@yahoo	Phone:770.778.9343	
Circle One:	New Rule	Clarification of Rule	Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)

Eliminate randomization of pre-entries and return to row selection by postmark (if permitted by promoter)

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

#### Chapter IV, paragraph C, item 5:

**5.** . . . . . Starting row assignments will be determined by random drawing. At the promoting clubs' option, and if stated in the flyer for the event, riders may request a specific starting row. If the promoting club elects this option, it shall assign a cut-off date for the pre-entry drawing. On this date only, all entries received will be drawn, and if their requested row is available, it will be assigned. If the row requested has already been filled by random drawing the entry will be assigned the closest available row.

#### **Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)**

Alienate riders that work hard to enter early.

Encourage riders to pre-enter early and not wait for weather reports.

Burn out promoting administrators and Scorekeeper trying to make this rule work by manual methods.

Injure a rider by forcing them to ride with a faster pack in rows 20 - 30

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

Replace the sentence "Starting row assignments will be determined by random drawing." with "Row assignments requested by riders shall be determined by date and time of electronic and/or postal submissions. Riders not requesting a specific row will have their row determined by lottery after the requested rows are assigned."

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

None

#### Benefits (For making the change)

Riders are more inclined to ride enduros knowing they can ride where they want and with who they want without "buddying up".

Buddying up doubles a rider's chances at early row choice. Three buddies triples their chances, pushing all the single entries to the back. Eliminating the random rule will keep riders from abusing this loophole.

Club administrators and Scorekeeper won't be burning out trying to make the random rule work.

Consistency with rule implementation – I'm not convinced clubs have been randomizing pre-entries before selecting rows so why keep a rule that won't be followed?

Allows MotoTally to be a one-button solution for row choice.

Racing is supposed to be fun. Let's make it fun again, not rule intensive.

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)

Hard headed attitudes

People that have no idea what it takes to implement the random rule.

#### **Motion Passes**

## 4. Change Class of 66+ to 65+

Motion – Sam Boydstun 2<sup>nd</sup> – Elesa Berard

FTR	Rule	Change	Proposa

Submitted By:	c bob	house		Date:	9/29/18
Email:cbr	46@yahoo.com		Phone:	_770.778.9343	
Circle One:	New Rule	Clarification	n of Rule Del	etion of Rule	Safety Critical

#### Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)

Move Enduro Class 66+ to 65+ qualifying age.

Does NOT affect Hare Scramble Series classes

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

Chapter III, paragraph D, item 1

#### **ENDURO**

<b>Division/Class</b>	Displacement classes	Age
AA Expert/Pro	0-Open cc	None
A Advanced	200, 250, Open	None
B Amateur	200, 250, Open	None

C Novice	200, 250, Open	None
+35 (A,B,C)	0-Open cc	35*/Above
+40 (A,B,C)	0-Open cc	40*/Above
+45 (A,B,C)	0-Open cc	45*/Above
+50 (A,B,C)	0- Open cc	50*/Above
+55 (A,B)	0- Open cc	55*/Above
+60 (A,B)	0- Open cc	60*/Above
+66	0- Open cc	66*/Above
+70	0- Open cc	70*/Above
Women	0- Open cc	None
Evolution	0-Open cc Drum	None
	Brakes	
	& Air Cooled	
Beginners	0- Open cc	None

## Paragraph L item 6:

Vehicle displacement classes are as follows:

### **Enduros:**

0-200 cc	A, B, & C
201-250 cc	A, B, & C
251-Open cc	A, B, & C
0-Open cc	AA, +35, +40
	+45, +50, +55
	+60, +66, +70
	Women,
	Evolution,
	Beginners

## Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:

There are thirteen (13) rider divisions:

```
AA Expert
A Advanced
B Amateur
C Novice
+35
+40
+45
```

+43 +50 +55 +60 +66 +70

Women Beginner

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)

Overpopulate Golden Master 60-66 classes

Not have enough riders for 66-70 age group

Keep goofy age gaps for 55-60-66-70 classes at 5-6-4 year gaps

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

In each instance change class name and qualifying age from +66 years to +65 years of age

Paragraph D item 1:

+65 0-Open cc 65\*/Above

Paragraph L item 6:

0-Open cc AA, +35, +40, +45, +50, +55, +60, +65, +70, Women, Evolution,

Beginners

Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:

+65

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

Simple rulebook change

Benefits (For making the change)

Trim Golden Master 60-66 classes from overpopulation

Have enough riders for 66-70 age group

Simplify age gaps for 55-60-66-70 classes to 5 year gaps

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented - cost, manpower, etc.)

Confusion with having separate class from Hare Scramble Series

**Motion Passes - Unanimously** 

## 5. Addition of 50+C Class

Motion – Cbob 2<sup>nd</sup>- Peter Magee

**FTR Rule Change Proposal** 

Submitted By: _Kim Watson		Date:9/2	9/18	
Email:f	tkimwatson@at	t.net	Phone: _864-350-705	3
Circle One:	New Rule	Clarification of Rule	Deletion of Rule	Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)

Add 60+C class

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

Chapter III, paragraph D, item 1

**ENDURO** 

Division/Class	Displacement classes	Age
AA Expert/Pro	0-Open cc	None
A Advanced	200, 250, Open	None
B Amateur	200, 250, Open	None
C Novice	200, 250, Open	None
+35 (A,B,C)	0-Open cc	35*/Above

```
40*/Above
+40 (A,B,C)
                0-Open cc
                0-Open cc
+45 (A,B,C)
                                    45*/Above
                0- Open cc
+50 (A,B,C)
                                    50*/Above
+55 (A,B)
                0- Open cc
                                    55*/Above
+60 (A,B)
                0- Open cc
                                    60*/Above
+66
                0- Open cc
                                    66*/Above
+70
                0- Open cc
                                    70*/Above
Women
                0- Open cc
                                         None
Evolution
                0-Open cc Drum
                                         None
                Brakes
                & Air Cooled
                                         None
Beginners
                0- Open cc
```

### Paragraph L item 6:

Vehicle displacement classes are as follows:

## **Enduros:**

0-200 cc	A, B, & C
201-250 cc	A, B, & C
251-Open cc	A, B, & C
0-Open cc	AA, +35, +40,
	+45, +50, +55,
	+60, +66, +70,
	Women,
	Evolution,
	Beginners

## Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:

There are thirteen (13) rider divisions:

AA Expert
A Advanced
B Amateur
C Novice
+35
+40
+45
+50
+55
+60
+66
+70

Women Beginner

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)

C riders @ 60 would either have to move up to B or compete with riders 10 yrs younger.

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

Chapter III, paragraph D, item 1

**ENDURO** 

**Division/Class Displacement classes Age** +60 (A,B,C) 0- Open cc 60\*/Above

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

None

Benefits (For making the change)

May increase 60+ age group participation

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented - cost, manpower, etc.)

Additional trophies for class

#### **Motion Failed**

## Open discussion on:

Class Restructure Trophy Guidelines Sprint Enduro

Motion to Adjourn: 6:21 Motion – Ronnie Hames 2<sup>nd</sup> – Peter Magee