Enduro Committee meeting
Saturday, March 23, 2019

Location:
Alligator Enduro Sign up Area
Favoretta, FL

Call to Order: 5:02PM
Roll Call:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enduro Chair</th>
<th>George Tolson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enduro Referee</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enduro Scorekeeper</td>
<td>CBob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/A Rep</td>
<td>Don Criss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/C Rep</td>
<td>Sam Boydston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec C/Women Rep</td>
<td>Jimmy Pitts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec A/B Rep</td>
<td>Peter Magee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERA</td>
<td>Heath Henderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERA</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suncoast</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry Mountain</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTR</td>
<td>Eric Berard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDR</td>
<td>Barry Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR</td>
<td>Ronnie Hames</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Old Business:

2nd Vote on previous Rules Passed:

1. Changing Minimum run participation from 50% to 60% of races for YE trophy qualification
Motion – 2nd-

FTR Rule Change Proposal

Submitted By: _George Tolson________________________________ Date: ___9/29/18________
Email: _____gjtolson63@hotmail.com__________ Phone: _863-698-3837__________
Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)
Increase minimum runs required to 60% (from 50%) of series runs to qualify for YE trophies

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)
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H. Year-End Awards
2. Winners for the Year: a. Total points will be determined by the best combined scores in 70% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number with 0.50 being rounded up. In addition, Motocross total points would be determined by the best scores in 80% of the events sanctioned by FTR. Rounding will be done to the nearest whole number also. To qualify for year-end awards in the FTR Series, a rider must enter at least 50% of the sanctioned events.

The number of trophies per class will be determined by the following:
Beginner classes do not receive year-end awards. Eligibility for all other classes will be determined by:
a. A rider must score points in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, including a worked run, where applicable. If no one in the class scores in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, no one in the class gets an award. Riders who score in less than 50% of the sanctioned events will always be awarded lower final standings than those riders who participate in the required number of events, regardless of points earned.

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)
Effort to decrease the loss associated with the Enduro series due to YE payout for trophies in classes with limited participation

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)
Eligibility for all other classes will be determined by:

a. A rider must score points in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, except in the Enduro Series where points must be scored in at least 60% of the sanctioned events, including a worked run, where applicable. If no one in the class scores in at least 50% of the sanctioned events, no one in the class gets an award. Riders who score in less than 50% of the sanctioned events will always be awarded lower final standings than those riders who participate in the required number of events, regardless of points earned.

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Benefits (For making the change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limit trophies with classes with low participation. Require riders to participate in an extra event to obtain a YE trophy in series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Motion Passed

2. Eliminate Randomization of Pre-entry

FTR Rule Change Proposal

Submitted By: _ _ c bob House & Woodie Westbrook Date: 9/29/18

Email: _cbr46@yahoo Phone: 770.778.9343

Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eliminate randomization of pre-entries and return to row selection by postmark (if permitted by promoter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

Chapter IV, paragraph C, item 5:

5. . . . . Starting row assignments will be determined by random drawing. At the promoting clubs’ option, and if stated in the flyer for the event, riders may request a specific starting row. If the promoting club elects this option, it shall assign a cut-off date for the pre-entry drawing. On this date only, all entries received will be drawn, and if their requested row is available, it will be assigned. If the row requested has already been filled by random drawing the entry will be assigned the closest available row.

Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alienate riders that work hard to enter early. Encourage riders to pre-enter early and not wait for weather reports. Burn out promoting administrators and Scorekeeper trying to make this rule work by manual methods. Injure a rider by forcing them to ride with a faster pack in rows 20 - 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)
Replace the sentence “Starting row assignments will be determined by random drawing.” with "Row assignments requested by riders shall be determined by date and time of electronic and/or postal submissions. Riders not requesting a specific row will have their row determined by lottery after the requested rows are assigned."

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)

None

Benefits (For making the change)

Riders are more inclined to ride enduros knowing they can ride where they want and with who they want without “buddying up”. Buddying up doubles a rider’s chances at early row choice. Three buddies triple their chances, pushing all the single entries to the back. Eliminating the random rule will keep riders from abusing this loophole. Club administrators and Scorekeeper won’t be burning out trying to make the random rule work. Consistency with rule implementation – I’m not convinced clubs have been randomizing pre-entries before selecting rows so why keep a rule that won’t be followed? Allows MotoTally to be a one-button solution for row choice. Racing is supposed to be fun. Let’s make it fun again, not rule intensive.

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)

Hard headed attitudes
People that have no idea what it takes to implement the random rule.

Motion Passed

3. **Change Class of 66+ to 65+**

FTR Rule Change Proposal

Submitted By: ______c bob house________________________ Date: __9/29/18________________

Email: __cbr46@yahoo.com________________________ Phone: __770.778.9343_________

Circle One: New Rule Clarification of Rule Deletion of Rule Safety Critical

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)

Move Enduro Class 66+ to 65+ qualifying age. Does NOT affect Hare Scramble Series classes

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)

Chapter III, paragraph D, item 1

**ENDURO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division/Class</th>
<th>Displacement classes</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA Expert/Pro</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Advanced</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Amateur</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Novice</td>
<td>200, 250, Open</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+35 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>35*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+40 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>40*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+45 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>45*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50 (A,B,C)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>50*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+55 (A,B)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>55*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+60 (A,B)</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>60*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+66</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>66*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+70</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>70*/Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolution</td>
<td>0-Open cc Drum</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brakes &amp; Air Cooled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginners</td>
<td>0-Open cc</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Paragraph L item 6:**
Vehicle displacement classes are as follows:

**Enduros:**
- 0-200 cc: A, B, & C
- 201-250 cc: A, B, & C
- 251-Open cc: A, B, & C
- 0-Open cc: AA, +35, +40, +45, +50, +55, +60, +66, +70, Women, Evolution, Beginners

**Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:**
There are thirteen (13) rider divisions:
- AA Expert
- A Advanced
- B Amateur
- C Novice
- +35
- +40
- +45
- +50
- +55
- +60
- +66
- +70
- Women
- Beginner

*Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)*
Overpopulate Golden Master 60-66 classes
Not have enough riders for 66-70 age group
Keep goofy age gaps for 55-60-66-70 classes at 5-6-4 year gaps

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)

In each instance change class name and qualifying age from +66 years to +65 years of age
Paragraph D item 1:
+65 0-Open cc 65*/Above
Paragraph L item 6:
0-Open cc AA, +35, +40, +45, +50, +55, +60, +65, +70, Women, Evolution, Beginners
Chapter IV, Paragraph B item 1:
+65

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)
Simple rulebook change

Benefits (For making the change)
Trim Golden Master 60-66 classes from overpopulation
Have enough riders for 66-70 age group
Simplify age gaps for 55-60-66-70 classes to 5 year gaps

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)
Confusion with having separate class from Hare Scramble Series

Motion Passed

NEW BUSINESS:
Motion: Peter Magee
2nd: Ronnie Hames

1. C Rider Cut Off Rule Proposal

FTR Rule Change Proposal

Submitted By: _Don Chriss_________________________ Date: ___3/23/19________

Email: _____decorlando24@gmail.com_______________ Phone: _407-694-5614_____________

Circle One: New Rule

Summary of Proposal (What is to be accomplished)
Each in state enduro will have a C rider cut off. Riders can continue to ride all checks, but will only be scored up to the cut off.

Current Rule (Copy and paste from rule book – cite chapter, paragraph, etc. of every section that is affected)
Current Risk (Negative consequences should a change not be made)
May complicate overall scores at the end of the year?

Proposed Solution (Write rule in exact detail how it should be incorporate into the rule book. Include every section of the rule book the proposal will affect)
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C riders will only be scored to the 4th check.
B riders will only be scored to the 5th check.

Steps Involved (What must be done to implement the proposal – other rule changes, capital, manpower, etc.)
All Enduro clubs will need to adhere to the new rule.

Benefits (For making the change)
C and B riders will be more likely to finish and be scored for championship points.
Will make riding an Enduro more fun for beginner riders.

Potential Obstacles (What would cause the change not to be implemented – cost, manpower, etc.)
None.

Motion Passes

Open discussion:
- Cost saving measures for series
  - YE Trophy
  - Combine Jacket winners between series
  - YE Trophy from Top 10 to Top 5
- Creation of School Boy Class AGE 12-16
- Race schedule date flexibility
- Additional Enduro formats
  - Great discussion on format criteria so we can adopt by YE
  - Drafting rules for additional race formats within Enduro Series

Motion to Adjourn: 6:46